UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
CARLOS ROMERO,	X :
Petitioner,	;
- against -	MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER
KAREN CROWLEY,	: . 17-CV-3298 (AMD) (LB)
Respondent.	· X

ANN M. DONNELLY, United States District Judge:

On May 30, 2017, the *pro se* petitioner, currently detained at the Orleans Correctional Facility, brought this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (ECF No. 1.) I referred the petition to United States Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom. On April 22, 2020, Judge Bloom recommended that I deny the petition, withhold a certificate of appealability and certify pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) that any appeal from this judgment would not be taken in good faith. (ECF No. 7.) No objections have been filed to the report and recommendation, and the time for doing so has passed.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). To accept those portions of the report and recommendation to which no timely objection has been made, "a district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." *Jarvis v. N. Am. Globex Fund L.P.*, 823 F. Supp. 2d 161, 163 (E.D.N.Y. 2011) (internal quotation omitted).

I have reviewed Judge Bloom's thoughtful and comprehensive report and recommendation, and find no error. Accordingly, I adopt the report and recommendation in its

entirety. The petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied in its entirety and the case is

dismissed. A certificate of appealability will not be issued. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). The Court

certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal would not be taken in good faith and

in forma pauperis status is therefore denied for the purpose of an appeal. See Coppedge v.

United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

s/Ann M. Donnelly

Ann M. Donnelly United States District Judge

Dated: Brooklyn, New York May 22, 2020